

1 RICHARD B. GOETZ (State Bar No. 115666)
2 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3 400 South Hope Street
4 Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
E-Mail: rgoetz@omm.com

5 ROBERTA H. VESPREMI (State Bar No. 225067)
6 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
7 2765 Sand Hill Road
8 Menlo Park, California 94025
Telephone: (650) 473-2600
Facsimile: (650) 473-2601
E-Mail: rvespremi@omm.com

9 Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

10 KATHRYN G. SPELMAN (State Bar No. 154512)
11 DANIEL H. FINGERMAN (State Bar No. 229683)
12 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
13 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
14 333 West San Carlos Street
15 San Jose, California 95110
Telephone: (408) 279-7000
Facsimile: (408) 998-1473
E-Mail: kspelman@mount.com
dfingerman@mount.com

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

22 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,

Case No. 5:10-cv-00966-JF

23 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANT
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.'S TIME TO
ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT**

24

25 | THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff San Francisco Technology Inc.
2 (“plaintiff”) and defendant McNeil-PPC, Inc. (“defendant”), by and through their counsel of
3 record as follows:

4 WHEREAS plaintiff filed its Complaint on March 5, 2010, and defendant was served on
5 March 18, 2010;

6 WHEREAS the current deadline for defendant to answer, move or otherwise respond to
7 the Complaint is April 7, 2010;

8 WHEREAS defendant has not previously sought to extend its time to answer, move or
9 otherwise respond to the Complaint;

10 WHEREAS because defendant’s counsel requires additional time to adequately
11 investigate the pertinent facts and applicable law, and to determine how to appropriately respond
12 to the Complaint, the plaintiff and defendant respectfully request the Court to extend the
13 defendant’s time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint to May 14, 2010.

14 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the undersigned parties hereby stipulate
15 as follows: Defendant’s last day to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint is May
16 14, 2010.

17 SO STIPULATED.

18 Dated: March 24, 2010

O’MELVENY & MYERS LLP

20 By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
21 Roberta H. Vespremi

22 Attorneys for Defendant
23 MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

24 Dated: March 24, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

25 By: /s/ Daniel H. Fingerman
26 Daniel H. Fingerman

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff
28 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.

CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE

I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from
counsel for plaintiff, Daniel H. Fingerman.

Dated: March 24, 2010

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
Roberta H. Vespremi

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 26, 2010

The Honorable Jeremy D. Fogel
United States District Judge

MP1:1191666.1

Certificate of Service

2 The undersigned certifies that on March 24, 2010, the foregoing document was filed with
3 the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, using the court's
4 electronic filing system (ECF), in compliance with Civil L.R. 5-4 and General Order 45. The
5 ECF system serves a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to all parties and counsel who have appeared
6 in this action, who have consented under Civil L.R. 5-5 and General Order 45 to accept that
7 Notice as service of this document.

8 || Date: March 24, 2010

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
Roberta H. Vespremi

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.